UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Northern District of California

San Francisco Division

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

No. CR 10-0565 JSW (LB)

Plaintiff,

ORDER

v.

KAN WEN CHONG,

Defendant.

The district court referred this matter to the undersigned for an evaluation under the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142. The court held hearings on March 13, 2013, and March 14, 2013. This order addresses two points: (1) the effective date of the release; and (2) the release determination.

First, at the March 14 hearing, with the agreement of the defense, the court ordered that its order setting conditions would not take effect until after the district court considers the government's brief on the interplay of the Bail Reform Act and the competency statutes, 18 U.S.C. §§ 4241 and 4246. The defense agreed, and the undersigned observes, that this moots the government's request for a stay of this court's release order. See Government's Appeal of Release Order, ECF No. 459. With the agreement of the parties, the undersigned will not file the order setting conditions until (A) the government has filed its brief before the district court on March 18, 2013, and (B) the district court issues an order authorizing the undersigned to issue the bond form. This will allow full briefing of the parties' statutory arguments and also provides some space for the parties to consider their options.

CR 10-0565 JSW (LB)

Second, the court authorized release -- with the full approval of Pretrial Services -- on the same conditions that Judge Laporte previously authorized¹ except that the court (A) removed the electronic monitoring condition at Pretrial Services' request and (B) added -- at Mr. Chong's request -- the condition that he take his medication. He promised to do so in court. The court did a full surety advisement given that Mr. Chong spent 10 months at Butner in a custodial setting. (One more surety still needs to appear and be advised of the new conditions.) The bond is attached as an exhibit.

At the hearing on March 13, 2013, the government made two arguments: (A) there is no authority to release someone under the Bail Reform Act when there is a pending competency hearing, and (B) Mr. Chong should not be released under the Bail Reform Act. As to point A, that issue -- as discussed above -- is a legal issue for the district court, which referred only the Bail Reform Act inquiry to the undersigned. As to point B, the government argued that Mr. Chong's behavior on release previously (mostly in the form of alerts regarding the electronic monitoring) demonstrated that he was not a good candidate for release, and it suggested that -- despite the recommendation articulated in open court by Pretrial Services -- the supervising Pretrial Services officer would agree with the government if he were able to come to court. In response to the court's question, the government confirmed that the prior alerts never served as a basis for revocation of the terms of release previously. The Pretrial Services officer in court (a supervisor) then confirmed that Pretrial Services fully supported release without the electronic monitoring. (Also, the record shows that even before the competency assessment, Pretrial Services asked that the monitoring condition be released.)

As part of its inquiry under the Bail Reform Act, the court also read all of the competency assessments to be sure that they did not alter Judge Laporte's previous analysis under the Bail Reform Act that Mr. Chong was a good candidate for release. They did not alter the assessment (and the government did not argue that they did).

In sum, the undersigned concluded that -- under a straight application of the Bail Reform Act -- release is appropriate under the conditions set forth in the attached bond form. Under the Act, a

¹ Judge Laporte currently is unavailable to conduct bond reviews.

court must order the release of a defendant on a personal recognizance or unsecured appearance bond unless release on a bond alone will not reasonably assure the defendant's appearance or the safety of the community or another person. See 18 U.S.C. § 3142(b). If a court determines that release on a bond alone presents a risk of nonappearance or a danger to any person or the community, then the court must choose "the least restrictive further condition[s]" that will assure the defendant's appearance and the safety of the community or another person. See id. § 3142(c) (listing thirteen possible conditions of release and empowering the court to impose "any other condition that is reasonably necessary" to assure the defendant's appearance and the community's safety). The conditions on the attached bond form are the conditions that are reasonably necessary to assure Mr. Chong's appearance and the community's safety.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 14, 2012

United States Magistrate Judge

CR 10-0565 JSW (LB)

						DAȚE		CASE NUMBER	Tai
	S DISTRICT COUR			R SETTING CONDITON E AND APPERARNCE		3/14/10	, 3	C110-02	1000
NAME OF DEFEN	DANT		<u> </u>			ADDRESS OF DEFE	NDANT	TELEPHO	ONE NUMBER
Kan	Win	Oh	on a						
NAME OF SURET	Y	0110	BELAT	TIONSHIP TO DEFE	NDANT	ADDRESS OF SURI	ETY	TELEPH	ONE NUMBER
Antoi	nio L	W	11	Triend"		945 Tar	ival #	4/89 S.F.	1.A
NAME OF CUSTO	DIAN		RELAT	TONSHIP TO DEFE	NDANT	ADDRESS OF CUS	TODIAN	TELEPHO	ONE NUMBER
	4								
AMOUNT OF BOND	UNSECURED	∑ SE	CURED BY	DEPOSIT RECEIVED	ОТН	ER SECURITY POSTED	TIME/DATE O	OF NEXT APPEARANCE	COURTROOMUUDGE
\$ 150.000		\$5,	,000	RECEIVED FROM:	тов	E POSTED BY:			
1-1-00	(1)	se ad	CON	DITIONS OF RE	LEASE	AND APPEARAI	NCE		_
Defendant is subjec	t to each condition	on chec	/ Ko7///	Jilono or me	LLAGE	AND ALL LANA	10L		
Defendant shall	appear at all pro	ceeding	s as ordere	•	shall surre	nder for service of any	sentence imp	oosed.	
Defendant shall									
				ure, tamper with, or r i0, 1512, and 1513, c		ainst any witness, vic side.	tim, informant,	juror, or officer of the	Court, or obstruct
Defendant shall	not travel outsid	e the No	orthern Dis	trict of California, tha	at is, these	counties; Alameda, C , Santa Cruz, and Sor	contra Costa, noma. See ma	Del Norte, Humboldt, p on reverse side.	Lake, Marin,
Defendant shall	report in person	immedi	ately upon	release and thereaft	er as direc	ted to Pretrial Service	s in /2/1	Francisco	,
See addresses a	and telephone nu	ımbers (on reverse	side.					
 /		-		Pretrial Services by_			not apply for a	ny passports or other	travel documents.
				e device, or other da	ngerous w	reapon.	*		
Defendant shall re who agrees to su				ation et a release con	dition to Pr	etrial Services. A custo	dian who fails t	o do so may be prosed	cuted for contempt.
Defendant shall p	articipate in (drug) (alcoh	ol (mental i	nealth) counseling, an	d submit to	(drug) (alcohol) testing	, as directed b	Protriet Services.]///	
Defendant shall n	tot use alcohol to the	excess a	and shall-no able employ	tuse or possess any	narcotic or	other controlled substandant shall seek emplo	nce without a le	egal prescription.	hologial
directed by Pretria		21 L VOIII	able employ	ment, or it differiploye	ia' n io aoic	modiff Stigil Seek empk	byrnera or com		lustion.
						d vehicle at the direction	n of Pretrial Se		
				it out of the presence of proval of Pretrial Servi		except to	defend	unts wife	_
Defendant shall c									•
				monitoring. Defendant	t may leave	home for the purpose			
	reside in Halfw ditions also apply	-	S 0			. Dparticipate in Resid	zentiai i reatme	ınt	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					. بليد رايد .			
			*	Must ta	re	nedication	^ J		
			4						
				,					
Defendant shall o	contribute to the c	ost of se	rvices provi	ded by Pretrial Service	es as direc	ted by Pretrial Services	•		
						TO OBEY CON			
executed against de	efendant and all	sureties	jointly and	severally.	•	y posted to secure it s			entered and
	•		=	•		ed without bail for the	· ·	-	100040
3147, on reverse sid	de.				• •	nd/or for commiting an			1.5.U. 3146 and
		unders	stand the te	rms of this bond and	acknowle	dge that we are bound		y exonerated.	
SIGNATURE CHARLES	KANNA TO THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE PERTY OF T			•		SIGNATURE STATE TO SURFE	BUTA		
SIGNATURE OF CUSTO	DIAN					XAL		1	>
					A	SIGNATURE OF MAGISTR	ATE JUDGE	Home	DATE
THIS ORDER AUTHOR	RIZES THE MARSH	AL TO R	ELEASE DEF	ENDANT FROM CUSTO	ODY.		-		

APPEARANCE BOND ADDITIONAL SURETY

USA v. Kun Win Chong CR.	# 10-0545-09 T3W							
Name: XIW Fun Ye								
Address/Phone #: 8982 Alemany BIVd . Sun Francisco, OA								
What is being posted:								
Signature: \(\mathref{MM} \)								
Name: King Liu Xian								
Address/Phone #: 438 Broadway Street, #28 San Francisco, CA								
What is being posted:								
Signature:								
Name:								
Address/Phone #:								
What is being posted:								
Signature:								
Name:								
Address/Phone #:								
What is being posted:								
Signature:								
Name:								
Address/Phone #:								
What is being posted:								
Signature:								
Name:								
Address/Phone #:								
What is being posted:								
Signature:								
Name:								
Address/Phone #:								
What is being posted:								
Signature:								